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A first simple network of two genes

PWL model corresponding to this network.

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= -\gamma_1 x_1 + \kappa_1 s^+(x_2, \theta^1_2) s^-(x_1, \theta^2_1) \\
\dot{x}_2 &= -\gamma_2 x_2 + \kappa_2 s^+(x_1, \theta^1_1) s^-(x_2, \theta^2_2)
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

where

- $x_1, x_2$ are cellular protein or RNA concentrations
- $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ are positive synthesis and degradation constants, respectively,
- $\theta^1_1, \theta^2_1, \theta^1_2, \theta^2_2$ are constant strictly positive threshold concentrations of regulation
- $s^+$ and $s^-$ are step functions

\[
s^+(x_j, \theta^k_j) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x_j > \theta^k_j \\
0 & \text{if } x_j < \theta^k_j
\end{cases}
\quad \text{and} \quad
s^-(x_j, \theta^k_j) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x_j > \theta^k_j \\
1 & \text{if } x_j < \theta^k_j
\end{cases}
\]

(2)
A first simple network of two genes

\[ \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma_1 x_1 + \kappa_1 \\ -\gamma_2 x_2 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma_1 x_1 + \kappa_1 \\ -\gamma_2 x_2 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma_1 x_1 + \kappa_1 \\ -\gamma_2 x_2 + \kappa_2 \end{pmatrix} \]
Definition of Piece-Wise Linear (PWL) models

Notation

- \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^T \in \Omega \) a vector of cellular protein or RNA concentrations, where \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+ \) is a bounded \( n \)-dimensional hyperrectangular subspace of \( \mathbb{R}^n_+ \)

- For each concentration variable \( x_i, i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), we distinguish a set of constant, strictly positive threshold concentrations \( \{\theta_{1i}, \ldots, \theta_{pi}\} \), \( p_i > 0 \).

- \( \Theta = \bigcup_{i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}, k \in \{1,\ldots,p_i\}} \{x \in \Omega \mid x_i = \theta_{ki}\} \) the subspace of \( \Omega \) defined by the threshold hyperplanes.
Definition of Piece-Wise Linear (PWL) models

Definition 1 (PWL model)

A PWL model of a gene regulatory network is defined by a set of coupled differential equations

\[
\dot{x}_i = f_i(x) = -\gamma_i x_i + b_i(x) = -\gamma_i x_i + \sum_{l \in L_i} \kappa_i^l b_i^l(x), \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, n\},
\]

(3)

where

- \(\kappa_i^l\) and \(\gamma_i\) are positive synthesis and degradation constants, respectively,
- \(L_i \subset \mathbb{N}\) are sets of indices of regulation terms,
- \(b_i^l : \Omega \setminus \Theta \to \{0, 1\}\) are so-called Boolean regulation functions.

Boolean functions and step functions

Step functions can be associated with Boolean variables \(X_j^k\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
X_j^k(x) &= (x_j > \theta_j^k) = s^+(x_j, \theta_j^k) \\
\bar{X}_j^k(x) &= (x_j < \theta_j^k) = s^-(x_j, \theta_j^k),
\end{align*}
\]

(4)

where \(\bar{X}\) denotes the complemented variables of \(X\).
Generically, any Boolean function $b^l_i(x)$ can be rewritten in minterm disjunctive normal form (DNF):

$$b^l_i(x) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2^p-1} c^l_{i,\alpha} m_\alpha(x),$$

with $c^l_{i,\alpha} \in \{0, 1\}$. For the set of variables $X^k_j, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, k \in \{1, \ldots, p_j\}$, we have $2^p$ minterms, with $p = \sum_{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} p_j$,

$$m_\alpha(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{p_j} \chi^k_j(x), \quad \alpha \in \{0, \ldots, 2^p - 1\}.$$  

where $\chi^k_j(x)$ is a literal defined either as the Boolean variable $X^k_j$ or its negation $\bar{X}^k_j$. 


Assumption 1

The regulation functions $b_i^j(\cdot)$ are multiaffine functions, that is, they are affine with respect to each $s^+(x_j, \theta_j^k)$, for $j \in \{1, \ldots n\}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, p_j\}$.

Assumption 1 can be shown to be generic for all regulation functions corresponding to Boolean functions written in minterm disjunctive normal form.

Assumption 2

Every step function $s^+(x_j, \theta_j^k)$, with $j \in \{1, \ldots n\}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, p_j\}$, occurs in at most one $b_i(\cdot)$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Assumption 2 is a rather weak modeling assumption, in the sense that there is usually no compelling biological reason for two genes to be regulated at exactly the same threshold.
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Notions of Filippov’s solutions

ODE with discontinuous R.H.S.

- Step functions $s^\pm(x_j, \theta_j^k)$ gives rise to mathematical complications, because the step functions are undefined and discontinuous at $x_j = \theta_j^k$.
- Reformulation as differential inclusions
  \[ \dot{x} \in F(x) \]
  Numerous options for defining the set–valued function $F$.
- Filippov’s definition of solutions as a absolutely-continuous function $x(\cdot)$ such that $\dot{x}(t) \in F(x(t))$ holds almost everywhere on $[t_0, T]$ with $x(t_0) = x_0$. 
Ordinary differential equations with discontinuous right-hand sides as complementarity systems. Application to gene regulatory networks.

Filippov’s solutions

Filippov’s extension of PWL models

Filippov’s extension of PWL models

Discontinuous Dynamics in $\Omega \setminus \Theta$

$$\dot{x}_i = f_i(x) = -\gamma_i x_i + b_i(x), \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}. \quad (3)$$

Definition 3 (F-extension of PWL models)

The $F$-extension of the PWL model (3) is defined by the differential inclusion

$$\dot{x} \in F(x), \text{ with } F(x) = \overline{\text{co}} \left( \left\{ \lim_{y \to x, y \notin \Theta} f(y) \right\} \right), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (7)$$

where $\overline{\text{co}}(P)$ denotes the closed convex hull of the set $P$, and $\left\{ \lim_{y \to x, y \notin \Theta} f(y) \right\}$ the set of all limit values of $f(y)$, for $y \notin \Theta$ and $y \to x$. 
Ordinary differential equations with discontinuous right-hand sides as complementarity systems. Application to gene regulatory networks.

Filippov’s solutions

Filippov’s extension of PWL models

Filippov’s extension of PWL models

Properties

- Classical definition of Filippov’s extension
- Existence of solutions under mild assumptions
- Uniqueness is not ensured.

Issues: Hardly tractable formulation for Numerics

\[ \dot{x} \in F(x) \]

General time–discretization scheme. (Dontchev and Lempio, 1992)

\[ \frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{h} \in F(x_k) \]

- How to practically build \( F(x) \)?
- How to compute and to choose a selection \( \sigma \in F(x) \)?
- How to avoid numerical chattering?
Aizerman & Pyatnitskii’s extension of PWL models

Discontinuous Dynamics in $\Omega \setminus \Theta$

$$\dot{x}_i = f_i(x) = -\gamma_i x_i + b_i(x), \ i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}.$$ (3)

Introduction of selection $\sigma = (\sigma_1^1, \ldots, \sigma_1^{p_1}, \ldots, \sigma_n^1, \ldots, \sigma_n^{p_n})^T \in [0, 1]^p$.

Let us define the function $g : \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$g_i(\sigma) = \sum_{l \in L_n} \kappa_i^l \tilde{b}_i^l(\sigma), \ j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}.$$ (8)

where $\tilde{b}_i^l(\cdot)$ are obtained from $b_i^l(\cdot)$ by replacing every occurrence of $s^+(x_j, \theta_j^k)$ and $s^-(x_j, \theta_j^k)$ by $\sigma_j^k$ and $1 - \sigma_j^k$, respectively.

Reformulation of the PWL model (3)

$$\dot{x}_i = f_i(x) = -\gamma_i x_i + g_i(\sigma), \ i \in \{1, \ldots, n\},$$ (9)
Aizerman & Pyatnitskii’s extension of PWL models

Multi-valued step function

\begin{align*}
S^+(x_j, \theta_j^k) &= \begin{cases} 
1 & x_j > \theta_j^k \\
[0,1] & x_j = \theta_j^k \\
0 & x_j < \theta_j^k
\end{cases} \\
S^-(x_j, \theta_j^k) &= \begin{cases} 
0 & x_j > \theta_j^k \\
[0,1] & x_j = \theta_j^k \\
1 & x_j < \theta_j^k
\end{cases}
\end{align*}

Interesting equivalence.

\begin{align*}
\sigma_j^k \in S^+(x_j, \theta_j^k) &\iff (x_j - \theta_j^k) \in N_{[0,1]}(\sigma_j^k)
\end{align*}
Aizerman & Pyatnitskii’s extension of PWL models

Definition 4 (AP-extension of PWL models)

The AP-extension of a PWL model (3) is defined by the following differential inclusion

\[
\dot{x} \in \begin{bmatrix}
G_1(x) \\
\vdots \\
G_n(x)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-\gamma_1 x_1 + g_1(\sigma) \\
\vdots \\
-\gamma_n x_n + g_n(\sigma)
\end{bmatrix}
| \sigma^k_j \in S^+(x_j, \theta^k_j), \ j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, \ k \in \{1, \ldots, p_j\}
\]

(12)

Properties

▶ Definition related to the Utkin concept of equivalent control method
▶ Existence of solutions is not so generic. G is not convex!
▶ Promising extension for Numerics.

⇒ Mixed complementarity systems or Differential Variational Inequalities
Relations between the extensions

Proposition 5 ((Machina and Ponosov, 2011))

Under Assumption 1 (multiaffine R.H.S.), \( F(x) = \text{co}(G(x)) \) for all \( x \in \Omega \).

Comments

▶ Every Filippov solution with the AP-extension is a Filippov solution with the F-extension
▶ Not sufficient to ensure the existence of a solution.

Proposition 6

Under Assumptions 1 and 2, \( F(x) = G(x) \) for all \( x \in \Omega \).

Comments

▶ We retrieve the existence of solutions.
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Conclusions & Perspectives
Principles

1. A reformulation of the set–valued relation

\[ \sigma \in S^+(x, \theta), \]  

into inclusion into normal cones, Complementarity Problems (CP) and finite–dimensional Variational Inequalities (VI)

2. An implicit event-capturing time–stepping scheme, mainly based on the backward Euler scheme which allows to deal with the switch–like behaviour and the sliding motion

3. The use of efficient numerical solvers for the one-step problem which results from the time–discretization of the CP/VI formulation of the problem
The relation

$$\sigma \in S^+(x, \theta),$$

(14)

can be equivalently reformulated in the form of an inclusion as

$$(x - \theta) \in N_{[0,1]}(\sigma).$$

(15)

In turn, the relation (15) are equivalent to the complementarity conditions

$$\begin{cases} 
0 \leq 1 - \sigma \perp (x - \theta)^+ \geq 0 \\
0 \leq \sigma \perp (x - \theta)^- \geq 0,
\end{cases}$$

(16)

where the symbol $x \perp y$ means $x^T y = 0$ and $y^+, y^-$ respectively stand for the positive and negative parts of $y$. Finally, an equivalent formulation of (15) is given by the following VI: find $\sigma \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$((\theta - x)^T (\sigma - \sigma') \geq 0 \text{ for all } \sigma' \in [0, 1]).$$

(17)
Reformulation as MCS/DVI

Let us now define the affine function $y : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ such that

$$y(x) = Cx - \theta = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - \theta_1^1 \\ \vdots \\ x_1 - \theta_1^p \\ \vdots \\ x_n - \theta_n^1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n - \theta_n^p \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

(18)

where $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ with $C_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\theta = [\theta_1^1, \ldots, \theta_1^p, \ldots, \theta_n^1, \ldots, \theta_n^p]^T$. 
Reformulation as MCS/DVI

The AP-extension of the PWL system in Definition 4 can be written compactly as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= -\text{diag}(\gamma)x + g(\sigma) \\
y(x) &= Cx - \theta \in N_{[0,1]}^p(\sigma)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\text{diag}(\gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\) is the diagonal matrix made of the components \(\gamma_i, i = 1 \ldots n\).

We get

- Mixed Complementarity Systems (MCS)
- Differential Variational Inequalities (DVI)
An event-capturing scheme. The one-step problem

The proposed time discretization of (19) over a time-interval \([t_k, t_{k+1}]\) of length \(h\):

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_{k+1} &= x_k - h \text{diag}(\gamma)x_{k+\tau} + h g(\sigma_{k+1}), \\
    y_{k+1} &= Cx_{k+1} - \theta, \\
    y_{k+1} &\in N_{[0,1]}^p(\sigma_{k+1}).
\end{align*}
\]

(20)

with the initial condition \(x_0 = x(t_0)\). \(x_{k+\tau} = \tau x_{k+1} + (1 - \tau)x_k\) for \(\tau \in [0,1]\)

Formulation into MCP/VI

Let us define the vector

\[
z_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{k+1} \\ \sigma_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m},
\]

(21)

and the function \(H : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}\) as

\[
H(z_{k+1}) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{k+1} - x_k + h \text{diag}(\gamma)x_{k+\tau} - h g(\sigma_{k+1}) \\ \theta - Cx_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

(22)

Then the problem (20) can be recast into the following inclusion

\[-H(z_{k+1}) \in N_{\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1]^p}(z_{k+1}).
\]

(23)
Existence of solutions

Proposition 7

Let $H : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ be the function defined in (22). Under Assumption 1, the problem to find $z \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1]^p$ such that

$$-H(z) \in N_{\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1]^p}(z),$$

(24)

has a nonempty and compact solution set.

Sketch of the proof

- Substitute $x_{k+1}$ in the inclusion to get a reduced inclusion

$$-h(\sigma) \in N_{[0,1]^p}(\sigma)$$

(25)

with

$$h(\sigma) = \theta - Cx = \theta - C \text{diag}(1/(1 + h\tau \gamma)) [(I_n - h(1 - \tau) \text{diag}(\gamma))x_k + h g(\sigma)]$$

(26)

- $h$ is continuous and $[0, 1]^p$ is compact convex

Apply Corollary 2.2.5 (Facchinei and Pang, 2003, page 148)
Solution methods

Definition 8 (Mixed complementarity Problem (MCP) (Dirkse and Ferris, 1995))

Given a function \( H : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \) and lower and upper bounds \( l, u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \), the Mixed complementarity Problem (MCP) is to find \( z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \) and \( w, v \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+m} \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
H(z) &= w - v \\
l &\leq z \leq u \\
(u - z)^T v &= 0 \\
(z - l)^T w &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

Numerical algorithms

- MILES (Rutherford, 1993) classical Newton–Josephy method,
- PATH (Ralph, 1994; Dirkse and Ferris, 1995)
- NE/SQP (Gabriel and Pang, 1992; Pang and Gabriel, 1993) generalized Newton’s method based on the minimum function
- QPCOMP (Billups and Ferris, 1995) NE/SQP
- SMOOTH (Chen and Mangasarian, 1996) smooth approximations of the NCP,
- SEMISMOOTH (DeLuca et al., 1996) semismooth Newton with Fischer–Burmeister function,
Ordinary differential equations with discontinuous right-hand sides as complementarity systems. Application to gene regulatory networks.

### Numerical Methods for the AP-extension

#### Solution methods for MCP

**Enumerative solution methods**

- With the classical Newton–Josephy method linearization, we get a MLCP

\[
\begin{aligned}
    y^{\alpha+1} &= W^{\alpha+1} \sigma^{\alpha+1} + q^{\alpha+1} \\
    y^{\alpha+1} &\in N_{[0,1]}^p(\sigma^{\alpha+1})
\end{aligned}
\]

where

\[
\begin{aligned}
    W^{\alpha+1} &= hC M^{-1} B(\sigma^\alpha), \\
    q^{\alpha+1} &= CM^{-1} [(I_n - h(1 - \tau)\text{diag}(\gamma)) x_k + h g(\sigma^\alpha) + h B(\sigma^\alpha) \sigma^\alpha] - \theta. \\
    M &= I_n + h \tau \text{diag}(\gamma) \\
    B(\sigma) &= \nabla_{\sigma} g(\sigma)
\end{aligned}
\]

- Efficient enumerative solvers (see for instance (Al-Khayyal, 1987; Sherali et al., 1998; Júdice et al., 2002)

Enumerating several solutions corresponding to various modes
Qualitative insight on the nature of solutions
Stationary Points

Finding stationary points of the AP-extension of PWL systems is equivalent to solve the following MCP

\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= -\text{diag}(\gamma)x + g(\sigma) \\
y(x) &= Cx - \theta \in N_{[0,1]^p}(\sigma)
\end{align*}
\]  

or more compactly

\[
C\text{diag}(1/(1 + \gamma))g(\sigma) - \theta \in N_{[0,1]^p}(\sigma)
\]

With the same reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 7, the VI/CP (30) has a nonempty compact set of solutions. We have just to checked that some solutions belong to \( \Omega \)
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Conclusions & Perspectives
The first simple network of two genes

PWL model corresponding to this network.

$$\begin{cases} 
\dot{x}_1 = -\gamma_1 x_1 + \kappa_1 s^+(x_2, \theta_1^2) s^-(x_1, \theta_1^1) \\
\dot{x}_2 = -\gamma_2 x_2 + \kappa_2 s^+(x_1, \theta_2^1) s^-(x_2, \theta_2^2) 
\end{cases} \quad (31)$$

The parameters are: $\theta_1^1 = \theta_1^2 = 4, \theta_2^1 = \theta_2^2 = 8, \kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 40, \gamma_1 = 4.5$ and $\gamma_2 = 1.5$. 
Different trajectories of system (1) depicting the nature of the three equilibria.
Reduced study around the stationary point $x_1 = \theta_1^2$ and $x_2 = \theta_2^2$

Restriction of the domain of interest to $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cap (\theta_1^1, +\infty) \times (\theta_1^2, +\infty)$. The original system (19) can be then reduced to

$$
\begin{cases}
\dot{x} = -\text{diag}(\gamma)x + \bar{B}\bar{\sigma} + \bar{\kappa} \\
\bar{C}x - \bar{\theta} \in N_{[0,1]^2}(\bar{\sigma})
\end{cases}
$$

(32)

with

$$
\bar{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1^2 \\ \theta_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} -\kappa_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\kappa_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_1 \\ \kappa_2 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

(33)
Ordinary differential equations with discontinuous right-hand sides as complementarity systems. Application to gene regulatory networks.

Illustrations

The first simple network of two genes

Reduced study around the stationary point $x_1 = \theta_1^2$ and $x_2 = \theta_2^2$

Properties

1. The one–step VI

$$\bar{\theta} - \bar{C}\text{diag}(1/(1 + h\tau\gamma)) \left[ (I_n - h(1 - \tau)\text{diag}(\gamma))x_k + h \bar{B}\bar{\sigma} + h\bar{\kappa} \right] \in N_{[0,1]^2}(\bar{\sigma})$$

is strongly monotone and has an unique solution (see (Facchinei and Pang, 2003, Theorem 2.3.3))

2. No numerical chattering in comparison with explicit schemes (Dontchev and Lempio, 1992)

Application of Lemma 3 in (Acary and Brogliato, 2010).
Reduced study around the stationary point $x_1 = \theta_1^2$ and $x_2 = \theta_2^2$
Reduced study around the stationary point $x_1 = \theta_1^2$ and $x_2 = \theta_2^2$

**Lemma 9**

The equilibrium point $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1^2, \theta_2^2)$ is finite-time stable in $\bar{\Omega}$.

**Sketch of the proof**

- Recast into monotone DI, $\dot{z} \in T(z)$
- Use Lyapunov function $V(z) = \frac{1}{2} z^T z$
- Since $0 \in T(0)$ and $B_r(0) \in T(0)$, $r > 0$, then we have

$$\dot{V}(z) - r \sqrt{V(z)} \leq 0$$
Synthetic oscillator with positive feedback

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= -\gamma_1 x_1 + \kappa_1 s^+(x_2, \theta_2^2) \\
\dot{x}_2 &= -\gamma_2 x_2 + \kappa_2 s^-(x_1, \theta_1) s^+(x_2, \theta_2^1)
\end{align*}
\]

The variables \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) represent the concentrations of the proteins LacI and GlnG, respectively. The following parameter values have been used in the simulations: \(\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0.032, \kappa_1 = 0.08, \kappa_2 = 0.16, \text{ and } \theta_1 = 1, \theta_1^1 = 1, \text{ and } \theta_2^2 = 4.\)
Synthetic oscillator with positive feedback
Ordinary differential equations with discontinuous right-hand sides as complementarity systems. Application to gene regulatory networks.

Illustrations

Repressilator consisting of three genes (Elowitz and Leibler, 2000)

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= -\gamma_1 x_1 + \kappa_1^1 + \kappa_1^2 s^-(x_3, \theta_3) \\
\dot{x}_2 &= -\gamma_2 x_2 + \kappa_2^1 + \kappa_2^2 s^-(x_1, \theta_1) \\
\dot{x}_3 &= -\gamma_3 x_3 + \kappa_3^1 + \kappa_3^2 s^-(x_2, \theta_2)
\end{align*}
\]

The variables \(x_1, x_2,\) and \(x_3\) represent the concentrations of the proteins LacI, TetR, and CI, respectively.

The following parameter values have been used in the simulations:
\(\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = 0.2, \ \kappa_1^1 = \kappa_2^1 = \kappa_3^1 = 4.8 \times 10^{-4}, \ \kappa_1^2 = \kappa_2^2 = \kappa_3^2 = 4.8 \times 10^{-1},\) and \(\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_3 = 1.\)
Repressilator consisting of three genes (Elowitz and Leibler, 2000)
Repressilator consisting of three genes (Elowitz and Leibler, 2000)
Conclusions & Perspectives

Conclusions

1. Development of numerical simulation methods for AP-extensions of PWL models, integrated in existing simulation platform.

2. Equivalence of different solution concepts for PWL models (F- and AP-extensions) under reasonable biological assumptions, which means that simulation methods are broadly applicable.

3. MCS/DVI formulation permits theoretical investigations (stability, finite–time convergence, ...)

4. Demonstrate practical usefulness of approach by numerical simulation of dynamics of three synthetic networks (good qualitative correspondence with data).

Perspectives

1. Simulation of real networks with 100 up to 1000 genes.

2. Extension to general polyhedral switching affine system (PSAS) and to piecewise smooth systems

3. Convergence without monotony, convex RHS, or one-sided Lipschitz condition.

4. Higher order event-driven schemes

5. General stability theory when only attractive surfaces are involved in a subset of interest.
Thank you for your attention.
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